

Love is one of the greatest blessings that Allah gives to people.

Allah has created people in such a way that they enjoy being loved and loving others. The true source of love in the believers' hearts is their profound love of Allah. Believers love Allah greatly and, at every moment, strive to gain His love and good pleasure.

Whoever loves Allah also loves His creations, feels compassion and mercy for them.

This book seeks to remind people of the importance of feeling love toward our Lord, and the importance of Allah's love toward His creations and the believers, as well as to demonstrate that the lack of love, which is a sign of those who deny His existence, is a great catastrophe and punishment.




ABOUT THE AUTHOR


Adnan Oktar, who writes under the pen-name Harun Yahya, was born in Ankara in 1956. Since the 1980s, the author has published many books on faith-related, scientific and political issues. He is well-known as the author of important works disclosing the imposture of evolutionists, their invalid claims, and the dark liaisons between


Darwinism and such bloody ideologies as fascism and communism.


All of the author's works share one single goal: to convey the Qur'an's message, encourage readers to consider basic faith-related issues such as Allah's existence and unity and the Hereafter; and to expose irreligious systems' feeble foundations and perverted ideologies. His more than 300 works, translated into 63 different languages, enjoy a wide readership across the world.

By the will of Allah, the books of Harun Yahya will be a means through which people in the twenty-first century will attain the peace, justice, and happiness promised in the Qur'an.









Harun Yahya enjoys a wide readership in many countries, from India to America, England to Indonesia, Poland to Bosnia, Spain to Brazil, Malaysia to Italy, France to Bulgaria and Russia. Some of his books are available in English, French, German, Spanish, Italian, Portuguese, Urdu, Arabic, Albanian, Chinese, Swahili, Hausa, Dhivehi (spoken in Maldives), Russian, Serbo-Croat (Bosnian), Polish, Malay, Uygur Turkish, Indonesian, Bengali, Danish and Swedish.

Greatly appreciated all around the world, these works have been instrumental in many people recovering faith in Allah and gaining deeper insights into their faith. His books' wisdom and sincerity, together with a distinct style that's easy to understand, directly affect anyone who reads them. Those who seriously consider these books, can no longer advocate atheism or any other perverted ideology or materialistic philosophy, since these books are characterized by rapid effectiveness, definite results, and irrefutability. Even if they continue to do so, it will be only a sentimental insistence, since these books refute such ideologies from their very foundations. All contemporary movements of denial are now ideologically defeated, thanks to the books written by Harun Yahya.

This is no doubt a result of the Qur'an's wisdom and lucidity. The author modestly intends to serve as a means in humanity's search for Allah's right path. No material gain is sought in the publication of these works.

Those who encourage others to read these books, to open their minds and hearts and guide them to become more devoted servants of Allah, render an invaluable service.

Meanwhile, it would only be a waste of time and energy to propagate other books that create confusion in people's minds, lead them into ideological confusion, and that clearly have no strong and precise effects in removing the doubts in people's hearts, as also verified from previous experience. It is impossible for books devised to emphasize the author's literary power rather than the noble goal of saving people from loss of faith, to have such a great effect. Those who doubt this can readily see that the sole aim of Harun Yahya's books is to overcome disbelief and to disseminate the Qur'an's moral values. The success and impact of this service are manifested in the readers' conviction.

One point should be kept in mind: The main reason for the continuing cruelty, conflict, and other ordeals endured by the vast majority of people is the ideological prevalence of disbelief. This can be ended only with the ideological defeat of disbelief and by conveying the wonders of creation and Qur'anic morality so that people can live by it. Considering the state of the world today, leading into a downward spiral of violence, corruption and conflict, clearly this service must be provided speedily and effectively, or it may be too late.

In this effort, the books of Harun Yahya assume a leading role. By the will of Allah, these books will be a means through which people in the twenty-first century will attain the peace, justice, and happiness promised in the Qur'an.

TO THE READER

A special chapter is assigned to the collapse of the theory of evolution because this theory constitutes the basis of all anti-spiritual philosophies. Since Darwinism rejects the fact of creation—and therefore, Allah's existence—over the last 150 years it has caused many people to abandon their faith or fall into doubt. It is therefore an imperative service, a very important duty to show everyone that this theory is a deception. Since some readers may find the opportunity to read only one of our books, we think it appropriate to devote a chapter to summarize this subject.


All the author's books explain faith-related issues in light of Qur'anic verses, and invite readers to learn Allah's words and to live by them. All the subjects concerning Allah's verses are explained so as to leave no doubt or room for questions in the reader's mind. The books' sincere, plain, and fluent style ensures that everyone of every age and from every social group can easily understand them. Thanks to their effective, lucid narrative, they can be read at one sitting. Even those who rigorously reject spirituality are influenced by the facts these books document and cannot refute the truthfulness of their contents.


This and all the other books by the author can be read individually, or discussed in a group. Readers eager to profit from the books will find discussion very useful, letting them relate their reflections and experiences to one another.


In addition, it will be a great service to Islam to contribute to the publication and reading of these books, written solely for the pleasure of Allah. The author's books are all extremely convincing. For this reason, to communicate true religion to others, one of the most effective methods is encouraging them to read these books.


We hope the reader will look through the reviews of his other books at the back of this book. His rich source material on faith-related issues is very useful, and a pleasure to read.


In these books, unlike some other books, you will not find the author's personal views, explanations based on dubious sources, styles that are unobservant of the respect and reverence due to sacred subjects, nor hopeless, pessimistic arguments that create doubts in the mind and deviations in the heart.


































ADNAN OKTAR: One must love
Allah with a mad, passionate soul.
With fervor. When we first wake up in
the morning, Allah, Whom we love with
that great passion, must immediately come to
our minds, and we will start the day with this joy

and happiness, in that festival spirit and joy. Because we are under the control of an infinite mind, an infinite power and an infinite compassion, as we are His servants. This is an extraordinary reason for rejoicing, and we see His blessings at all times and in all places. And our lovely Allah has prepared eternal Paradise for us. Of course the joy of this will enfold people's hearts, and we must never forget it, not even for a moment. We must live each moment, from morning until night, in a festive spirit of rejoicing, and must even experience the joy of Allah in our dreams. If we live by that joy, it will even affect us in our dreams. (*Tempo TV*, *December* 24, 2008)

ADNAN OKTAR: Of course we do not know whether we will go to Paradise or Hell, but how terrible to say one will just rot back into the ground. One must say one has a passionate love of Allah, and one will even love Him in Hell, as a passionate lover, and wander round in Hell with the words "Allah, Allah" on one's lips. Why should I wish to cease to exist? What does non-existence mean? It means severing the link between Allah and me. It means forgetting Allah. The passionate lover will recite the taqbir and remember Allah with all his might, out of love of Allah, whether he is in Paradise or Hell. One cannot abandon Allah. The Companions call on Allah as their arms are cut. A Muslim burns with the love of Allah. He may go to Hell or the fire. But one can never abandon Allah. (Kocaeli TV, January 23, 2009)







creases. We could have been born into a world where breathing was difficult. However, due to Allah's will and mercy, this was not the case. In fact, the air on our planet relaxes and pleases us.


Allah has filled the world with unlimited varieties of vegetables, fruits, grain cereals, and plants. If He had willed, He could have created just one type of very unpleasant-tasting food and made it vital to our survival, so that we would be forced to continue producing and consuming it. However, as part of His infinite mercy and love, Allah created a wide variety of fruits and vegetables with different flavors. Allah has created people in such a way that they must eat in order to survive, and, because of His mercy, has made eating an enjoyable experience.


and olives and pomegranates, both, similar and dissimilar. Look at their fruits as they bear fruit and ripen. There are signs in that for people who believe.


(Surat al-An'am, 99)

































Fortitude in the Face of Hardship is One of the Finest Manifestations of Love of Allah


Allah is the Lord and Creator of all things. Allah loves and protects His servants, and may from time to time create different environments for them. He may sometimes cause them to live in wealth, abundance and prosperity, and at other times may test them with shortages, troubles, sickness and various difficulties. The stories of the prophets narrated in the Qur'an show that Allah put the prophets, His most beloved servants, through various tests. Throughout their lives, the Prophets Job (as), Joseph (as), Moses (as), Abraham (as), Muhammad (saas) and all the others both struggled against the traps set by the unbelievers and against the pagans and hypocrites among their own peoples, and were also tested by various hardships such as sickness, poverty and exile. At everything that befell them, the prophets would say, "Allah is enough for us and the Best of Guardians," and they represent the finest examples of the fortitude to be shown in times of difficulty. Every hardship and difficulty they experienced increased their love of and devotion to Allah many times over, and deepened their faith. They experienced the joy and happiness of encountering an environment specially created by Allah with which to test them.


True believers who follow in the path of the prophets and adopt their lives and moral virtues as role models will also encounter similar difficulties and tests. Believers confronted by such events must first display a sincere joy, enthusiasm and excitement in the face of them. They must feel a great joy in the knowledge that Allah has created this special situation in order for them to see their love of and closeness to Allah, the depth of their faith and submission, and to witness their own belief. This opportunity that arises in order for them to show their devotion to Allah must produce a great joy in them, with which they must behave in the finest manner.














Allah places the fetus in the most protective situation, thereby preventing the resulting child from becoming disabled or experiencing pain and suffering. Whatever a baby needs was created billions of years ago. From the air that he breathes to his mother's milk that contains every nutrient he requires, everything is ready for him.

Every person's body is protected throughout their life within the faultless system that Allah has created. For example, each person's heart beats without stopping, even though a person does nothing to ensure this. If he had to ensure that his heart beat every second of his life, his life would become unbearable, for he would be unable to sleep, eat, or do anything else but think about his heart beating. However, Allah has ordered each person's heart to beat from the moment of life to the moment of death. As a result, He ensures that each person's heart will never stop beating, even for a second.


A person has no final control over his or her body, despite asserting the opposite. Our Most Glorious Lord is the sole controller of each part of a person's body. A person cannot independently control and manage the blood traveling with great speed, how much blood the heart pumps, how long it takes to coagulate, and all of the various systems (e.g., respiratory, digestive, immune, nervous, and so on) existing within his body.


A person is dependent upon Allah for everything, as Allah reveals:


O humanity. You are the poor in need of Allah, whereas Allah is the Rich Beyond Need, the Praiseworthy. (Surah Fatir, 15)


Every morning when you wake up, you see that your body works perfectly so that you can live. You breathe with ease, and see a colorful world without exerting the slightest effort.


You will hear noises clearly, sense all the smells with ease, and










































Harun Yahya - Adnan Oktar


People rely on Allah every moment, for there is no other helper but Him. Whenever you are faced with any form of distress, Allah is the only One Who can offer any help. As a mercy, Allah created many blessings for people to use when they are confronted with hardship. While people benefit from these blessings, it would be a grave mistake to assume that these blessings are a source of independent strength. Given that Allah has created all of these blessings, they should be seen as manifestations of His favor and mercy upon humanity. For example, if someone visits a doctor, only Allah can cure him. And, the doctor can only cure a person with His consent. By the same token, if a person hires a solicitor as his guardian to protect what is rightfully his, his true guardian is Allah. A solicitor can only protect a person's rights or ensure legal representation by Allah's will. In reality, Allah brings all issues to an end and provides for a person's blessings in the best possible way.

For people who seek Allah's help and who view our Lord as their true guardian, only true friend, and helper, Allah guarantees that His help will reach them from many different paths. Those who are conscious of these facts will never seek such guarantees from






































































































































































could I ever leave my beloved? Never. Not even under the worst circumstances. You would passionately call Allah and still never abandon. Insha'Allah. Anything else is disloyalty and betrayal. Some women are finished as soon as their man sees them without makeup. Just that alone. They catch cold or flu and the man sees them in that state, and that is enough to end it. Or she gives birth, and the birth changes her. Note that there are a great many post-birth divorces. Divorces always crop up after birth or after accidents. Something happens to her face, for instance. What kind of conscience is that, to leave someone like that? She is a part of you. Allah entrusted her to you. Isn't that right? You can never, ever leave her. She will come to your side in the finest form in Paradise. And how long can a person, a woman stay attractive, in any case? She will in any case grow old. The beauty of old age will come, but those womanly qualities will depart, and that human beauty will come instead. And you will love her like that. Anything else is cruelty. She is also a servant of Allah. You cannot expect her to stay bolt upright for 24 hours a day. She is only human. That would be disloyalty. Someone


Do those who do not believe imagine that they can take My servants as protectors instead of Me? We have prepared Hell as hospitality for the unbelievers! (Surat al-Kahf, 102)

















PATIENCE IS ONE OF THE MANIFESTATIONS OF LOVE OF ALLAH

ADNAN OKTAR: Masha'Allah, people normally think of religious statements as moral statements about very plain issues, and there are various aspects they don't concentrate on much. The fact is there are many secrets in religion. One of these is patience. Thanks to patience people live extremely comfortably and, patience totally eliminates all the obstacles to true love. Without patience, human beings are very sensitive entities, they will finish friendships in just 15 minutes, not even half an hour, when they become fixated with something someone said, a lack of concern on their part or any answers they may have given on any subject. That is enough for them to finish it. They can come up with the most terrible distortions. They can misunderstand something perfectly reasonable or the other party, who may actually be open to real love, can really behave unrea-











Misunderstandings about Love's True Nature


People who do not follow the Qur'an's morals have an incorrect understanding of love. No matter how much they believe that they are leading a life full of love and respect toward others, these interactions are, in general, based on inappropriate and misleading bases. We will now examine some of these below.


a Man and a Woman

Examples of love based on idolatrous thinking are easily found in relationships between men and women. Some people channel their love and devotion toward other people who are, in essence, weak, instead of channeling it toward Allah. Sometimes, they make another person their life's aim, mention their name whenever possible, and try to gain their love. This person will be the focus of their attention for the whole day. Or, they think about this person all night and thus cannot sleep. Instead of seeking Allah's good pleasure, they will struggle to please only that particular person, even if this means that they have to do something that violates the Qur'an's morals and the limits that Allah has established for humanity. They will make any sacrifice for that person, but exert no effort to gain Allah's good pleasure. In other words, they transform the objects of their attention into little deities. This is why some romantic poems, writings, or even discussions claim that the author "worships" the object of his or her affection. Such an erroneous understanding of love, which associates others with Allah, is prohibited, for one's love of Allah is a much stronger and superior type of love:











words, it turns into a delight that rocks the soul. We call this passion. It is a very, very great blessing for people to experience it. It can only be achieved with love and fear of Allah, honesty and profundity. The Qur'an refers to those who lose that love, passion and beauty in the absence of those things. (*Ekin TV*; 26 January 2009)

Friendships Based on Self-Interest

Some relationships, whether consciously or subconsciously, are based on self-interest. Some people, who feel an excitement when they meet a person whom they hope to benefit from, interpret this excitement as "love." However, in truth it is no more than an "affected desire" for what that person has. In fact, such love often depends on the level of the "loved" person's wealth and status. Wealth excites people, and thus the most excitement is felt towards the wealthiest person. As mentioned above, this type of excitement is nothing but an attachment to worldly interests. Rich people are not "loved" primarily for their morals and characteristics, and thus always seem to have many "friends" even if they are easily angered, rude, selfish, illogical, merciless, always looking for gain, insincere, or even thoughtless.

Some people want to be with those who entertain them: "A person who likes me should be able to make me laugh." As a result, any resulting closeness is based on a deluded self-interest, for enjoying to be with a person who makes you laugh and feel happy is not the same as loving that person. However, because many people mistake the relief they feel for having gained something for love, they claim that they love that person very much.

Other people assume that being around good people will raise their esteem. As a result, they will select "good" people to befriend,

Love of Allah

considering their physical features such as height, the color of their eyes and hair. Often, such people can see only the other person's physical beauty, not their intelligence, conscience, or characteristics. They do not consider these aspects important because they claim that their love has "blinded" them. However, this "love" means "I love the esteem this person's beauty earns me." Apart from that person's beauty, his or her spirit does not mean anything to them. Just because he or she is beautiful, many inappropriate and undesirable particularities such as being merciless, insensitive, or scornful may totally be ignored.

Another important type of self-interest is trying to secure one's future by getting married. Many people fear living alone, being unable to support themselves, or of having no one to look after them when they are sick. Some people seek to abolish all such fears by getting married. For this reason, they attach themselves to the most promising person in this regard and convince themselves that they have fallen in "love."


For the rest of their lives, they share their pains and complaints about their spouse with their neighbors and relatives. But when asked why they stay with that person, they claim to love them very much. However, the Qur'an and its value system say that love should expect nothing in return. People should love other people sincerely, which involves personal sacrifice and expecting nothing in return, for that person is a manifestation of Allah. They should never backbite, but, as a result of this sincere love, should only speak well of them. They don't obligatorily "tolerate" the others for they treat one another with compassion and mercy, cover up their misgivings, try to make them feel at ease, and respond to every need as best as they can. Sincere love, friendship and closeness are best demonstrated through these actions.




Short-Term, Temporal Love

Love that is not based on the Qur'an will inevitably be shortterm in nature. For example, it is quite normal for people to dislike or feel upset with others when they realize that they cannot attain any benefit from that person. Similarly, those whom some people formerly claimed to love become people that they have to tolerate if they have experienced some physical problem. For example, they might no longer love a person if he or she somehow becomes disfigured by an accident or an illness. A "perfect" couple's marriage might collapse overnight when one spouse becomes terminally ill, experiences some personal or professional disaster, or is no longer as beautiful or as rich as before. Sometimes, despite the spouse's physical beauty or wealth, the couple divorces because they no longer "love" the other person after witnessing his or her weakness during the illness. Similarly, although they seemed to get along well in the days when they possessed wealth and riches, some people inevitably lose the love and closeness they used to feel towards each other when their respective wealth and riches is dwindled away.

In essence, true love should never diminish, but should only increase with the passage of time. A person who values another person for his moral values finds that her love for him will increase as his good characteristics become ever more apparent. Even if this same person is left crippled, broke, or physically repellant, her love for him does not diminish. These hardships may, in effect, increase a person's modesty and maturity and thereby make him a better and more moral person. As a result, their love for each other only grows stronger. These hardships have no effect on true love because true love is based on the Qur'an's morals and the desire to earn Allah's good pleasure by obeying the limits that He has established for humanity.






Love of Allah


How nice. Do you have a car? Yes, comes the answer. Then they suddenly say they feel love for the other person, an ineffable love. The depth of that love grows with the list of their possessions. They now say they feel a mad love. Then the man says he is awfully sorry, but he has gone bust. Three days later she will suggest they go their separate ways. Easy come, easy go. They go where the money is, where the flesh is.


REPORTER: These are the effects of materialism.


ADNAN OKTAR: Of course. They get married on the basis of people's looks. Then they find someone better looking. They get married for money, but then they find someone a bit richer, and when he winks at them, off they go. Or for someone's work. The man may be an engineer, but when she finds a chief engineer she goes off with him. Whatever they get married for, that is who they go off with. That is why no real love can develop, no real passion. Allah has inflicted a terrible scourge on the world. I mean, the world is meaningless without love. In that event, all that is left is the daily grind; eating, watching TV, going to bed, getting up again, having a bath, eating again, going to bed, getting up again and going off to work. Work is like a prison for them. A mass of concrete; the office, concrete to right and left and over their heads. They put a computer in front of them. And they slave away from 8 in the morning until who knows when in the evening. They then come home, to another concrete box. There they eat, wash the dishes and clothes, then they fight and go to sleep. Then in the morning they eat once again and go back to those concrete boxes. This goes on for 30 years. Then they retire. Then once they have retired they just spend their time in one cardboard box. What life is that? Is that why we come into this world? That is just sheer misery.


REPORTER: This applies to the whole world at the moment, but...




















son. Since people regularly witness this type of behavior, they subconsciously hold a negative view point toward such people.


Some people who are far removed from the Qur'an's morality cannot ask even their closest friends to make any sacrifice. For example, a mother who has to take care of her sick child cannot ask her coworker to do her work while she is meeting her child's needs. Even if one helps his own parents, some trouble will probably arise among his siblings. On the other hand, most people, when asked, say that they love their parents dearly. However, if self-sacrificing is required and there is no real gain in sight for that person, most people will seek to avoid such obligations. However, those who truly love somebody else will make all sorts of sacrifices, and will never tire or get sick of such sacrifice.

One of the sincere believers' most identifiable characteristics is that they will freely put their own interests below those of other believers. Allah gives one such example in the Qur'an, when recounting the Makkan Muslims' emigration to Madinah, and how the Muslims in Madinah welcomed them.

The Qur'an informs us of the beautiful morals of the believers in the following verse:

Those who were already settled in the abode [Madinah], and in faith, before they came love, those who have migrated to them; do not find in their hearts any need for what they have been given; and prefer them to themselves, even if they themselves are needy. The people who are safe-guarded from the avarice of their own selves are successful. (Surat as-Hashr, 9)

The Makkan believers emigrated so that they could live their religion. They left behind all of their belongings, relatives, homes, vineyards, gardens, and their places of work in order to gain Allah's good pleasure. This demonstrates their superior morals and indicates the fact they have chosen Allah as their guardian and are trust-




worthy people. Their beautiful morals caused Madinah's Muslims to welcome them in the best possible manner with deep love, respect, and mercy.


Without considering their own needs and interests, they provided for the interests and needs of their brethren when it came to eating and living. Their self-sacrificing actions were based on their strong and sincere love for Allah and all other believers. Their beautiful morals also drew the love of other believers toward them. Allah mentions these believers in the Qur'an with love and praise, and for the past 1,400 years they have left an indelible impression in every believer's heart.


Another example is given in the following verses:


They give food, despite their love for it, to the poor, the orphans, and the captives: "We feed you only out of desire for the Face of Allah. We do not want any repayment from you or any thanks. Truly, We fear from our Lord a glowering, calamitous Day." (Surat al-Insan, 8-10)


Despite being in need themselves, those who offer their food to the hungry will naturally be loved and respected. Let's expand upon this with the following example. Let's pretend that you and two other people are very tired and hungry. In front of you is enough food for just one person and only one bed. One of them decides to eat the food and use the bed without asking you for permission, while the other person, despite being hungry, offers his food to you and insists that you sleep in the bed. In this situation, you would feel quite cold toward the selfish person, but quite warm and loving toward the one who placed his needs below yours. Allah created human soul so as to be pleased with good morals and feel love and affection for such people.












Harun Yahya - Adnan Oktar


Allah, when they love the manifestation of Allah, when they look at the other person as a manifestation of the infinite might and beauty of Allah and wonder in the face of that infinite perfection, then they possess a love that can never weaken and a passion that grows deeper all the time. That is what can make a person happy and deeply affected. Otherwise, the house just becomes unpleasant and the person they marry becomes unpleasant, and they can never escape that feeling. This is a law appointed by Allah. It is a characteristic unique to those who love deeply. And this emerges when one loves another person with the love of Allah, and in no other way. Money also just depresses such people, because one has to take so many precautions to hold onto it. Someone worries because his checks have not been honored. He puts his money in the bank but then worries what will happen if the bank folds. So he hides it under the mattress, but that is no good, either, as he is always worried about people finding it. He is constantly stressed and uneasy. But a person can find peace, ease and submission through love of Allah. In other words, the more money people have the more restless and unhappy they are. (Kackar TV, January 29, 2009)


To Be Forgiving


Some people who do not live according to the Qur'an's morals easily become angry and dissatisfied with others, and get upset with those who do not live up to their expectations. Many people end long-term friendships with their "closest friends" and become their worst enemy in an instant due to relatively minor matters. This is because they do not live by the Qur'an's morals and thus cannot forgive, be patient or loving toward others, and they lead a life that is far removed from superior morals and the resulting characteristics.




















Love of Allah


To compensate for these mistakes, they redouble their efforts to live according to the Qur'an's morals in the best possible manner, which only draws them closer to their fellow believers. For this reason, they are always patient with other people, regardless of the magnitude of their mistake.


Our Prophet (saas) directs the believers to cover their fellow believers' mistakes and to support them:


Every Muslim is a brother to a Muslim, neither wronging him nor allowing him to be wronged. If anyone helps his brother in need, Allah will help him in his own need. If anyone removes a calamity from [another] Muslim, Allah will remove from him some of the calamities of the Day of Resurrection. If anyone shields [another] Muslim from disgrace, Allah will shield him from disgrace on the Day of Resurrection. (Sahih Bukhari and Sahih Muslim)


The patience of a person who loves Allah and is constantly pleased with Him differs significantly from the understanding of patience of unbelievers. Unbelievers attempt to "endure" in some situations if they think that they will gain something from another person, or if they fear the repercussions of their community. They consider that they have the right to sulk, complain, or act badly. They will think: "I am putting up with this much trouble, so I am entitled to do as I like," or other similar statements. For example, an unbeliever who is looking after his sick friend will at some stage begin to be bored, become angry, and start to complain. He will complain that he cannot sleep, is very tired, that the job at hand is very hard, or even that nobody could possibly be as self-sacrificing as he is. These feelings will become noticeable and will cause his sick friend to feel obliged, for he will remind him all the time that he is doing him a favor.


A patient person however, responds to all of these needs with



































and are still continuing to do so. Given the enormous importance of this subject, it will be of great benefit to summarize it here.

The Scientific Collapse of Darwinism

As a pagan doctrine going back as far as ancient Greece, the theory of evolution was advanced extensively in the nineteenth century. The most important development that made it the top topic of the world of science was Charles Darwin's *The*




Charles Darwin

Origin of Species, published in 1859. In this book, he opposed, in his own eyes, the fact that Allah created different living species on Earth separately, for he erroneously claimed that all living beings had a common ancestor and had diversified over time through small changes. Darwin's theory was not based on any concrete scientific finding; as he also accepted, it was just an "assumption." Moreover, as Darwin confessed in the long chapter of his book titled "Difficulties on Theory," the theory failed in the face of many critical questions.



Darwin invested all of his hopes in new scientific discoveries, which he expected to solve these difficulties. However, contrary to his expectations, scientific findings expanded the dimensions of these difficulties. The defeat of Darwinism in the face of science can be reviewed under three basic topics:

1) The theory cannot explain how life origi-





living species and, if such an evolution really occurred, why traces of it cannot be observed in the fossil record are some of the questions that the theory cannot answer. However, first and foremost, we need to ask: **How did this "first cell" originate?**

Since the theory of evolution ignorantly denies Creation, it maintains that the "first cell" originated as a product of blind coincidences within the laws of nature, without any plan or arrangement. According to the theory, inanimate matter must have produced a living cell as a result of coincidences. Such a claim, however, is inconsistent with the most unassailable rules of biology.

"Life Comes From Life"

In his book, Darwin never referred to the origin of life. The primitive understanding of science in his time rested on the assumption that living beings had a very simple structure. Since medieval times, spontaneous generation, which asserts that non-living materials came together to form living organisms, had been widely accepted. It was commonly believed that insects came into being from food leftovers, and mice from wheat. Interesting experiments were conducted to prove this theory. Some wheat was placed on a dirty piece of cloth, and it was believed that mice would originate from it after a while.

Similarly, maggots developing in rotting meat was assumed to be evidence of spontaneous generation. However, it was later understood that worms did not appear on meat spontaneously, but were carried there by flies in the form of larvae, invisible to the naked eye.

Even when Darwin wrote *The Origin of Species*, the belief that bacteria could come into existence from non-living matter was widely accepted in the world of science.

Love of Allah

However, five years after the publication of Darwin's book, Louis Pasteur announced his results after long studies and experiments, that disproved spontaneous generation, a cornerstone of Darwin's theory. In his triumphal lecture at the Sorbonne in 1864, Pasteur said: "Never will the doctrine of spontaneous generation recover from the mortal blow struck by this simple experiment."

For a long time, advocates of the theory of evolution resisted these findings. However, as the development of science



Louis Pasteur

unraveled the complex structure of the cell of a living being, the idea that life could come into being coincidentally faced an even greater impasse.

Inconclusive Efforts of the Twentieth Century

The first evolutionist who took up the subject of the origin of life in the twentieth century was the renowned Russian biologist Alexander Oparin. With various theses he advanced in the 1930s, he tried to prove that a living cell could originate by coincidence. These studies, however, were doomed to failure, and Oparin had to make the following confession:

Unfortunately, however, the problem of the origin of the cell is perhaps the most obscure point in the whole study of the evolution of organisms.²

Evolutionist followers of Oparin tried to carry out experiments

Harun Yahya - Adnan Oktar

to solve this problem. The best known experiment was carried out by the American chemist Stanley Miller in 1953. Combining the gases he alleged to have existed in the primordial Earth's atmosphere in an experiment set-up, and adding energy to the mixture, Miller synthesized several organic molecules (amino acids) present in the structure of proteins.

Barely a few years had passed before it was revealed that **this ex-**



Alexander Oparin

periment, which was then presented as an important step in the name of evolution, was invalid, for the atmosphere used in the experiment was very different from the real Earth conditions.³


After a long silence, Miller confessed that the atmosphere medium he used was unrealistic.⁴

All the evolutionists' efforts throughout the twentieth century to explain the origin of life ended in failure. The geochemist Jeffrey Bada, from the San Diego Scripps Institute accepts this fact in an article published in *Earth* magazine in 1998:

Today as we leave the twentieth century, we still face the biggest unsolved problem that we had when we entered the twentieth century: How did life originate on Earth?⁵

The Complex Structure of Life

The primary reason why the theory of evolution ended up in such a great impasse regarding the origin of life is that even those living organisms deemed to be the simplest have outstandingly complex structures. The cell of a living thing is more complex than



all of our man-made technological products. Today, even in the most developed laboratories of the world, a living cell cannot be produced by bringing organic chemicals together.


The conditions required for the formation of a cell are too great in quantity to be explained away by coincidences. The probability of proteins, the building blocks of a cell, being synthesized coincidentally, is 1 in 10⁹⁵⁰ for an average protein made up of 500 amino

acids. In mathematics, a probability smaller than 1 over 10^{50} is considered to be impossible in practical terms.


The DNA molecule, which is located in the nucleus of a cell and which stores genetic information, is a magnificent databank. If the



One of the evolutionists' gravest deceptions is the way they imagine that life could have emerged spontaneously on what they refer to as the primitive Earth, represented in the picture above. They tried to prove these claims with such studies as the Miller experiment. Yet they again suffered defeat in the face of the scientific facts: The results obtained in the 1970s proved that the atmosphere on what they describe as the primitive Earth was totally unsuited to life.



If, as materialists claim, matter had the ability to cause life to form spontaneously in nature, then it should have been much easier to perform this under controlled conditions in laboratories. To date, however, not a single cell organelle has been artificially reproduced in laboratories, let alone a whole cell.




information coded in **O**NA were written down, it

would make a giant library consisting of an estimated 900 volumes of encyclopedias consisting of 500 pages each.

A very interesting dilemma emerges at this point: DNA can replicate itself only with the help of some specialized proteins (enzymes). However, the synthesis of these enzymes can be realized only by the information coded in DNA. As they both depend on each other, they have to exist at the same time for replication. This brings the scenario that life originated by itself to a deadlock. Prof. Leslie Orgel, an evolutionist of repute from the University of San Diego, California, confesses this fact in the September 1994 issue of the *Scientific American* magazine:

It is extremely improbable that proteins and nucleic acids, both of which are structurally complex, arose spontaneously in the same place at the same time. Yet it also seems impossible to have one without the other. And so, at first glance, one might have to conclude that life could never, in fact, have originated by chemical means.⁶

No doubt, if it is impossible for life to have originated spontaneously as a result of blind coincidences, then it has to be accepted



that life was "**created**." This fact explicitly invalidates the theory of evolution, whose main purpose is to deny Creation.

Imaginary Mechanism of Evolution

The second important point that negates Darwin's theory is that both concepts put forward by the theory as "evolutionary mechanisms" were understood to have, in reality, no evolutionary power.

Darwin based his evolution allegation entirely on the mechanism of "natural selection." The importance he placed on this mechanism was evident in the name of his book: *The Origin of Species*, By Means of Natural Selection...


Natural selection holds that those living things that are stronger and more suited to the natural conditions of their habitats will survive in the struggle for life. For example, in a deer herd under the threat of attack by wild animals, those that can run faster will sur-

vive. Therefore, the deer herd will be comprised of faster and stronger individuals. However, unquestionably, this mechanism will not cause deer to evolve and transform themselves into another living species, for instance, horses.

Therefore, the mechanism of natural selection has no evolutionary power. Darwin was also aware of this fact and had to state this



Natural selection only selects out the disfigured, weak, or unfit individuals of a species. It cannot produce new species, new genetic information, or new organs.



in his book *The Origin of Species*:

Natural selection can do nothing until favourable individual differences or variations occur.⁷

Lamarck's Impact


So, how could these "favorable variations" occur? Darwin tried to answer this question from the standpoint of the primitive understanding of science at that time. According to the French biologist Chevalier de Lamarck (1744-1829), who lived before Darwin, living creatures passed on the traits they acquired during their lifetime to the next generation. He asserted that these traits, which accumulated from one generation to another, caused new species to be formed. For instance, he claimed that giraffes evolved from antelopes; as they struggled to eat the leaves of high trees, their necks were extended from generation to generation.


Darwin also gave similar examples. In his book *The Origin of Species*, for instance, he said that some bears going into water to find

food transformed themselves into whales over time.⁸

However, the laws of inheritance discovered by Gregor Mendel (1822-84) and verified by the science of genetics, which

French biologist Lamarck put forward a very unreasonable claim that giraffes evolved from antelopes. In fact, Allah created giraffes specially just like all other living things.





flourished in the twentieth century, utterly demolished the legend that acquired traits were passed on to subsequent generations. Thus, natural selection fell out of favor as an evolutionary mechanism.

Neo-Darwinism and Mutations

In order to find a solution, Darwinists advanced the "Modern Synthetic Theory," or as it is more commonly known, Neo-Darwinism, at the end of the 1930s. Neo-Darwinism added mutations, which are distortions formed in the genes of living beings due to such external factors as radiation or replication errors, as the "cause of favorable variations" in addition to natural mutation.


Today, the model that Darwinists espouse, despite their own awareness of its scientific invalidity, is neo-Darwinism. The theory maintains that millions of living beings formed as a result of a process whereby numerous complex organs of these organisms (e.g., ears, eyes, lungs, and wings) underwent "mutations," that is, genetic disorders. Yet, there is an outright scientific fact that totally under-





Accidental mutations develop into defects in humans as well as other living beings. The Chernobyl disaster is an eye-opener for the effects of mutations.

mines this theory: Mutations do not cause living beings to develop; on the contrary, they are always harmful.

The reason for this is very simple: **DNA has a very complex structure, and random effects can only harm it.**The American geneticist B. G. Ranganathan explains this as follows: First, genuine mutations are very rare in nature. Secondly, most mutations are harmful since they are random, rather than orderly changes in the structure of genes; any random change in a highly







difficulty for his theory. In one chapter of his book titled "Difficulties on Theory," he wrote:

Why, if species have descended from other species by insensibly fine gradations, do we not everywhere see innumerable transitional forms? Why is not all nature in confusion instead of the species being, as we see them, well defined?... But, as by this theory innumerable transitional forms must have existed, why do we not find them embedded in countless numbers in the crust of the earth?... Why then is not every geological formation and every stratum full of such intermediate links? Geology assuredly does not reveal any such finely graduated organic chain; and this, perhaps, is the most obvious and gravest objection which can be urged against my theory.¹¹


Darwin's Hopes Shattered

However, although evolutionists have been making strenuous efforts to find fossils since the middle of the nineteenth century all over the world, **no transitional forms have yet been uncovered.** All of the fossils, contrary to the evolutionists' expectations, show that **life appeared on Earth all of a sudden and fully-formed.**


One famous British paleontologist, Derek V. Ager, admits this fact, even though he is an evolutionist:

The point emerges that if we examine the fossil record in detail, whether at the level of orders or of species, we find – over and over again – not gradual evolution, but the sudden explosion of one group at the expense of another.¹²

This means that in the fossil record, all living species suddenly emerge as fully formed, without any intermediate forms in between. This is just the opposite of Darwin's assumptions. Also, this is very strong evidence that all living things are created. The only







explanation of a living species emerging suddenly and complete in every detail without any evolutionary ancestor is that it was created. This fact is admitted also by the widely known evolutionist biologist Douglas Futuyma:

Creation and evolution, between them, exhaust the possible explanations for the origin of living things. Organisms either appeared on the earth fully developed or they did not. If they did not, they must have developed from pre-existing species by some process of modification. If they did appear in a fully developed state, they must indeed have been created by some omnipotent intelligence.¹³


Fossils show that living beings emerged fully developed and in a perfect state on the Earth. That means that "the origin of species," contrary to Darwin's supposition, is not evolution, but Creation.

The Tale of Human Evolution

The subject most often brought up by advocates of the theory of evolution is the subject of the origin of man. The Darwinist claim holds that man evolved from so-called ape-like creatures. During this alleged evolutionary process, which is supposed to have started 4-5 million years ago, some "transitional forms" between present-day man and his imaginary ancestors are supposed to have existed. According to this completely imaginary scenario, four basic "categories" are listed:

- 1. Australopithecus
- 2. Homo habilis
- 3. Homo erectus
- 4. Homo sapiens

Harun Yahya - Adnan Oktar



Evolutionist newspapers and magazines often print pictures of primitive man. The only available source for these pictures is the imagination of the artist. Evolutionary theory has been so dented by scientific data that today we see less and less of it in the serious press.

Evolutionists call man's so-called first ape-like ancestors Australopithecus, which means "South African ape."

These living beings are actually nothing but an old ape species that has become ex-

tinct. Extensive research done on various Australopithecus specimens by two world famous anatomists from England and the USA, namely, Lord Solly Zuckerman and Prof. Charles Oxnard, shows that these apes belonged to an ordinary ape species that became extinct and bore no resemblance to humans.¹⁴

Evolutionists classify the next stage of human evolution as "homo," that is "man." According to their claim, the living beings in the Homo series are more developed than *Australopithecus*. Evolutionists devise a fanciful evolution scheme by arranging different fossils of these creatures in a particular order. This scheme is imaginary because it has never been proved that there is an evolutionary relation between these different classes. Ernst Mayr, one of the twentieth century's most important evolutionists, contends in his book One Long Argument that "particularly historical [puzzles] such as the origin of life or of *Homo sapiens*, are extremely difficult and may even resist a

final, satisfying explanation."15

By outlining the link chain as *Australopithecus* > *Homo habilis* > *Homo erectus* > *Homo sapiens*, evolutionists imply that each of these species is one another's ancestor. However, recent findings of paleoanthropologists have revealed that *Australopithecus*, *Homo habilis*, and *Homo erectus* lived at different parts of the world at the same time.¹⁶

Moreover, a certain segment of humans classified as Homo erectus have lived up until very modern times. Homo sapiens neandarthalensis and Homo sapiens sapiens (present-day man) coexisted in the same region.¹⁷

This situation apparently indicates the invalidity of the claim that they are ancestors of one another. The late Stephen Jay Gould explained this deadlock of the theory of evolution although he was himself one of the leading advocates of evolution in the twentieth century:

What has become of our ladder if there are three coexisting lineages of hominids (A. africanus, the robust australopithecines, and H. habilis), none clearly derived from another? Moreover, none of the three display any evolutionary trends during their tenure on earth.¹⁸

Put briefly, the scenario of human evolution, which is "upheld" with the help of various drawings of some "half ape, half human" creatures appearing in the media and course books, that is, frankly, by means of propaganda, is nothing but a tale with no scientific foundation.

Lord Solly Zuckerman, one of the most famous and respected scientists in the U.K., who carried out research on this subject for years and studied Australopithecus fossils for 15 years, finally concluded, despite being an evolutionist himself, that there is, in fact, no such family tree branching out from ape-like creatures to man.



Zuckerman also made an interesting "spectrum of science" ranging from those he considered scientific to those he considered unscientific. According to Zuckerman's spectrum, the most "scientific"—that is, depending on concrete data—fields of science are chemistry and physics. After them come the biological sciences and then the social sciences. At the far end of the spectrum, which is the part considered to be most "unscientific," are "extra-sensory perception"—concepts such as telepathy and sixth sense—and finally "human evolution." Zuckerman explains his reasoning:

We then move right off the register of objective truth into those fields of presumed biological science, like extrasensory perception or the interpretation of man's fossil history, where to the faithful [evolutionist] anything is possible – and where the ardent believer [in evolution] is sometimes able to believe several contradictory things at the same time.¹⁹

The tale of human evolution boils down to nothing but the prejudiced interpretations of some fossils unearthed by certain people, who blindly adhere to their theory.

Darwinian Formula!


Besides all the technical evidence we have dealt with so far, let us now for once, examine what kind of a superstition the evolutionists have with an example so simple as to be understood even by children:

The theory of evolution asserts that life is formed by chance. According to this irrational claim, lifeless and unconscious atoms came together to form the cell and then they somehow formed other living things, including man. Let us think about that. When we bring together the elements that are the building-blocks of life such as carbon, phosphorus, nitrogen and potassium, only a heap is formed. No

matter what treatments it undergoes, this atomic heap cannot form even a single living being. If you like, let us formulate an "experiment" on this subject and let us examine on the behalf of evolutionists what they really claim without pronouncing loudly under the name "Darwinian formula":

Let evolutionists put plenty of materials present in the composition of living things such as phosphorus, nitrogen, carbon, oxygen, iron, and magnesium into big barrels. Moreover, let them add in these barrels any material that does not exist under normal conditions, but they think as necessary. Let them add in this mixture as many amino acids and as many proteins—a single one of which has a formation probability of 1 in 10⁹⁵⁰—as they like. Let them expose these mixtures to as much heat and moisture as they like. Let them stir these with whatever technologically developed device they like. Let them put the foremost scientists beside these barrels. Let these experts wait in turn beside these barrels for billions, and even trillions of years. Let them be free to use all kinds of conditions they believe to be necessary for a human's formation. No matter what they do, they cannot produce from these barrels a human, say a professor that examines his cell structure under the electron microscope. They cannot produce giraffes, lions, bees, canaries, horses, dolphins, roses, orchids, lilies, carnations, bananas, oranges, apples, dates, tomatoes, melons, watermelons, figs, olives, grapes, peaches, peafowls, pheasants, multicoloured butterflies, or millions of other living beings such as these. Indeed, they could not obtain even a single cell of any one of them.

Briefly, unconscious atoms cannot form the cell by coming together. They cannot take a new decision and divide this cell into two, then take other decisions and create the professors who first invent the electron microscope and then examine their own cell structure under that microscope. Matter is an unconscious, lifeless heap, and



then lift your head and look around you. Have you ever seen such a sharp and distinct image as this one at any other place? Even the most developed television screen produced by the greatest television producer in the world cannot provide such a sharp image for you. This is a three-dimensional, colored, and extremely sharp image. For more than 100 years, thousands of engineers have been trying to achieve this sharpness. Factories, huge premises were established, much research has been done, plans and designs have been made for this purpose. Again, look at a TV screen and the book you hold in your hands. You will see that there is a big difference in sharpness and distinction. Moreover, the TV screen shows you a two-dimensional image, whereas with your eyes, you watch a three-dimensional perspective with depth.

For many years, tens of thousands of engineers have tried to make a three-dimensional TV and achieve the vision quality of the eye. Yes, they have made a three-dimensional television system, but it is not possible to watch it without putting on special 3-D glasses; moreover, it is only an artificial three-dimension. The background is more blurred, the foreground appears like a paper setting. Never has it been possible to produce a sharp and distinct vision like that of the eye. In both the camera and the television, there is a loss of image quality.

Evolutionists claim that the mechanism producing this sharp and distinct image has been formed by chance. Now, if somebody told you that the television in your room was formed as a result of chance, that all of its atoms just happened to come together and make up this device that produces an image, what would you think? How can atoms do what thousands of people cannot?


If a device producing a more primitive image than **the eye could not have been formed by chance**, then it is very evident that the eye and the image seen by the eye could not have been formed


Harun Yahya - Adnan Oktar


by chance. The same situation applies to the ear. The outer ear picks up the available sounds by the auricle and directs them to the middle ear, the middle ear transmits the sound vibrations by intensifying them, and the inner ear sends these vibrations to the brain by translating them into electric signals. Just as with the eye, the act of hearing finalizes in the center of hearing in the brain.

The situation in the eye is also true for the ear. That is, **the brain** is insulated from sound just as it is from light. It does not let any sound in. Therefore, no matter how noisy is the outside, the inside of the brain is completely silent. Nevertheless, the sharpest sounds are perceived in the brain. In your completely silent brain, you listen to symphonies, and hear all of the noises in a crowded place. However, were the sound level in your brain measured by a precise device at that moment, complete silence would be found to be prevailing there.

As is the case with imagery, decades of effort have been spent in trying to generate and reproduce sound that is faithful to the original. The results of these efforts are sound recorders, high-fidelity systems, and systems for sensing sound. Despite all of this technology and the thousands of engineers and experts who have been working on this endeavor, no sound has yet been obtained that has the same sharpness and clarity as the sound perceived by the ear. Think of the highest-quality hi-fi systems produced by the largest company in the music industry. Even in these devices, when sound is recorded some of it is lost; or when you turn on a hi-fi you always hear a hissing sound before the music starts. However, the sounds that are the products of the human body's technology are extremely sharp and clear. A human ear never perceives a sound accompanied by a hissing sound or with atmospherics as does a hi-fi; rather, it perceives sound exactly as it is, sharp and clear. This is the way it has been since the creation of man.







A Materialist Faith

The information we have presented so far shows us that the theory of evolution is incompatible with scientific findings. The theory's claim regarding the origin of life is inconsistent with science, the evolutionary mechanisms it proposes have no evolutionary power, and fossils demonstrate that the required intermediate forms have never existed. So, it certainly follows that the theory of evolution should be pushed aside as an unscientific idea. This is how many ideas, such as the Earth-centered universe model, have been taken out of the agenda of science throughout history.

However, the theory of evolution is kept on the agenda of science. Some people even try to represent criticisms directed against it as an "attack on science." Why?

The reason is that this theory is an indispensable dogmatic belief for some circles. These circles are **blindly devoted** to materialist philosophy and adopt Darwinism because it is the only materialist explanation that can be put forward to explain the workings of nature.

Interestingly enough, they also confess this fact from time to time. A well-known geneticist and an outspoken evolutionist, Richard C. Lewontin from Harvard University, confesses that he is "first and foremost a materialist and then a scientist":

It is not that the methods and institutions of science somehow compel us accept a material explanation of the phenomenal world, but, on the contrary, that we are forced by our a priori adherence to material causes to create an apparatus of investigation and a set of concepts that produce material explanations, no matter how counter-intuitive, no matter how mystifying to the uninitiated. Moreover, that materialism is absolute, so we cannot allow a Divine [intervention]...²⁰

Harun Yahya - Adnan Oktar


These are explicit statements that **Darwinism is a dogma** kept alive just for the sake of adherence to materialism. This dogma maintains that there is no being save matter. Therefore, it argues that inanimate, unconscious matter brought life into being. It insists that millions of different living species (e.g., birds, fish, giraffes, tigers, insects, trees, flowers, whales, and human beings) originated as a result of the interactions between matter such as pouring rain, lightning flashes, and so on, out of inanimate matter. This is a precept contrary both to reason and science. Yet Darwinists continue to ignorantly defend it just so as not to acknowledge, in their own eyes, the evident existence of Allah.


Anyone who does not look at the origin of living beings with a materialist prejudice sees this evident truth: **All living beings are works of a Creator**, Who is All-Powerful, All-Wise, and All-Knowing. **This Creator is Allah**, Who created the whole universe from non-existence, in the most perfect form, and fashioned all living beings.


The Theory of Evolution: The Most Potent Spell in the World


Anyone free of prejudice and the influence of any particular ideology, who uses only his or her reason and logic, will clearly understand that belief in the theory of evolution, which brings to mind the superstitions of societies with no knowledge of science or civilization, is quite impossible.

As explained above, those who believe in the theory of evolution think that a few atoms and molecules thrown into a huge vat could produce thinking, reasoning professors and university students; such scientists as Einstein and Galileo; such artists as









Notes

- 1. Sidney Fox, Klaus Dose, *Molecular Evolution and The Origin of Life*, W. H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco, 1972, p. 4.
- 2. Alexander I. Oparin, *Origin of Life*, Dover Publications, NewYork, 1936, 1953 (reprint), p. 196.
- 3. "New Evidence on Evolution of Early Atmosphere and Life," *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, vol 63, November 1982, 1328-1330.
- 4. Stanley Miller, Molecular Evolution of Life: Current Status of the Prebiotic Synthesis of Small Molecules, 1986, p. 7.
- 5. Jeffrey Bada, Earth, February 1998, p. 40.
- 6. Leslie E. Orgel, "The Origin of Life on Earth," *Scientific American*, vol. 271, October 1994, p. 78.
- 7. Charles Darwin, *The Origin of Species by Means of Natural Selection*, The Modern Library, New York, p. 127.
- 8. Charles Darwin, *The Origin of Species: A Facsimile of the First Edition*, Harvard University Press, 1964, p. 184.
- 9. B. G. Ranganathan, *Origins?*, Pennsylvania: The Banner of Truth Trust, 1988, p. 7.
- 10. Charles Darwin, The Origin of Species: A Facsimile of the First Edition, p. 179.
- 11. Charles Darwin, The Origin of Species, p. 172.
- 12. Derek A. Ager, "The Nature of the Fossil Record," *Proceedings of the British Geological Association*, vol 87, 1976, p. 133.
- 13. Douglas J. Futuyma, *Science on Trial*, Pantheon Books, New York, 1983, p. 197.
- 14. Solly Zuckerman, *Beyond The Ivory Tower*, Toplinger Publications, New York, 1970, 75-14; Charles E. Oxnard, "The Place of Australopithecines in Human Evolution: Grounds for Doubt", *Nature*, vol 258, 389.
- 15. "Could science be brought to an end by scientists' belief that they have final answers or by society's reluctance to pay the bills?" *Scientific American*, December 1992, p. 20.
- 16. Alan Walker, *Science*, vol. 207, 7 March 1980, p. 1103; A. J. Kelso, *Physical Antropology*, 1st ed., J. B. Lipincott Co., New York, 1970, p. 221; M. D. Leakey, *Olduvai Gorge*, vol. 3, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1971, p. 272.
- 17. Jeffrey Kluger, "Not So Extinct After All: The Primitive Homo Erectus May Have Survived Long Enough To Coexist With Modern Humans," *Time*, 23 December 1996.
- 18. S. J. Gould, *Natural History*, vol. 85, 1976, p. 30.
- 19. Solly Zuckerman, Beyond The Ivory Tower, p. 19.
- 20. Richard Lewontin, "The Demon-Haunted World," *The New York Review of Books*, January 9, 1997, p. 28.
- 21. Malcolm Muggeridge, *The End of Christendom*, Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1980, p. 43.